The

LITTLE REDALIBRANTES SUCT 1699. 22

'Jim' Connolly and Irish Freedom

By G. Schüller

TEN CENTS

No. 11

DAILY WORKERS

'Jim' Connolly and The Irish Rising of 1916

By G. Schüller

190 Per

PRICE 10 CENTS.

Published by



Introduction.

When James Connolly, Marxian socialist and Commander-in-Chief of the Irish revolutionary army of Easter Week, 1916, was awaiting his doom at the hands of a British firing squad, his last words spoken to his daughter Nora, expressed a foar that his comrades in the socialist movement would not understand this action. few of them did. British socialists in particular, not all of them tho, regarded Connolly's heroic act as a nationalist gesture, not having any relation whatever to the class That Connolly was a revolutionist of the new struggle. type, a man who knew all the weak spots in the imperialist structure and also knew how to mobilize all the antiimperialist forces against the enemy, is proven by Comrade Schuller in his excellent article to which those few words are an introduction.

James Connolly was born of proletarian parents in the northern part of Ireland. He was obliged to go to work for a boss at an early age. In fact, he had to lie about his age in order to evade the law regarding child labor. Early in his life he became interested in the socialist movement and agitated in Scotland, England and Ireland, before his first visit to the United States for

a speaking tour.

The extremely active in the American working class movement, despite the mental agony he suffered owing to the distress of his family, thru poverty. Connolly never lost interest in the Irish revolutionary movement, nationalist and proletarian. He attached considerable importance to the necessity of reaching the Irish nationalist workers in the United States with the message of socialism. He founded the Irish Socialist Federation and The Harp, as its official organ. Of this monthly sheet Connolly was editor, printer and newsboy.

The Federation, and its mission, was sneered at in a superior manner by the official socialists and it gradually

remained there involuntarily for over eight years. Larkin went to the United States on a speaking tour and invitation of some Belfast as Connolly Workers' In 1910 of his old comrades and he went to held this position until 1914, when Union, which was founded by Jim organizer for the Irish Transport and Connolly returned to Ireland at the

It was demoralized after the defeat inflicted on it in the great lockout of 1913-14. With all Connolly's ability as an organizer, he was unable to bring the membership took place. up to more" than 5,000 when the Easter Week uprising I. T. and G. W. U. After Larkin left Ireland Connolly took charge of the The union existed chiefly on paper.

first acts was to establish a printing plant for turning out ture was turned out in the early days of the war. It was on this press that most of the revolutionary literaillegal literature in Liberty Hall, the union headquarters When Connolly took charge of the union, one of his

strike. This little army was the back bone of the force press with their lives. in 1916. The Citizen Army guarded Liberty Hall against the British soldiers and defended the illegal printing posed of trade unionists which grew out of the Dublin oped the Citizen Army, a military organization comthat challenged the mighty power of imperial Britain military tactics, particularly of street fighting he devel-Connolly was everything but a pacifist. A student of

section of labor, the taking care to preserve the indeed by the imperiulist, war must not be allowed to pass consummated and thus Connolly brought about a union mare after the American Civil War. The alliance was of the Fenian Brotherhood, which gave England a nightwith the Irish Republican Brotherhood, the descendant empire. With this end in view he sought an alliance between the revolutionary nationalists and the militant without revolutionary freland rising in arms against the Connolly was determined that the opportunity present-

> ed out that Irish labor was always betrayed in the past geois class who always sold out to the foreign enemy when it allowed itself to be made the tool of the bourpendence of the labor movement. He consistently point-

at the first opportunity.

revolutionists expected that the social democratic leaders would raise the standard of revolt. He gave vent ers should raise the banner of revolution when the "first by the capitalists should be the signal for civil war on He excoriated the social patriots and spurious pacifists to his disappointment in language that burns and sears and the bullets that snuffed out the life of James Conthe part of the European working class, that the workwith voice and pen. He said that the declaration of war cause the hangmen and murderers of the working class love of comrades in the international army of labor" benote from the bugle of war rang out upon their ears". nolly were fired by guns directed by a British cabinet Instead the traitorous leaders "who pledged the life long in which sat a member of the Second International, the Honorable Arthur Henderson. When the world war broke out Connolly like other

laughing glint in his eye: "We are going out to get slaughtered. Stay with the union. It needs you." union headquarters as he was leaving for his last fight he said to one of them, with a smile on his lip and that When Connolly bid bood bye to his comrades in the

When the gallant little army of rebels surrendered, neither Connolly nor his comrades asked for quarter. since then and the debt is still unpaid. British knew what they were doing When they murdered James Connolly but they paid thru the nose for it cution, propped up against a wall and murdered. The Connolly was carried on a stretcher to the place of exeen, in harmony with Britain's record thru Irish history. death penalty. The promise was made only to be brok-They insigted that their followers be exempted from the

In Connolly's death the Irish labor movement lost its

can be studied to advantage by the workers of all lands national proletariat. He is Ireland's most precious contribution to the intertactics in the Irish struggle against British imperialism and blodostained from his hands. Not only is Connolly's memory a heritage of the Irish working class but his who must carry forward the flag where it dropped, torn and his heroic death should be an inspiration to those coined into the English language. His life, his work Schuller points out a true Leninist before that word was He was a well-rounded revolutionary, indeed, as Comrade for the windy blatherskite or for the cloister sociologist. only revolutionary theoretician. Connolly had little use

the system which Connolly died fighting against and they may emancipate themselves from the thralldom of Connolly laid down his life fighting for. to erect upon its ruins the Workers' Republic which in the land in which they are exploited to the end that workers of Irish birth or descent in the United States to the necessity of joining hands with workers of all races comrade, but it also wishes to bring the attention of Party, not only pays a deserving tribute to our martyred In publishing this little book the Workers (Communist)

December 20, 1926.

-T. J. O'Flaherty

Connolly and the Irish of 19,16.

The Significance of Ireland for the Comintern.

of the Irish Rising in 1916, and the shooting of its leader. ning of May, 1926, we celebrate the Tenth Anniversary 'N this age so prolific in anniversaries, one event should not be forgatten-at the end of April and the begin-

enemy is of the greatest importance, and not least for anti-imperialist force, the significance of which will congreatest Imperialist State in Burope exists the greatest signs of revival. The Irish question has not been solved ers and toiling peasantry of Ireland. Already there are the present stagnation in the political life of the workworking masses in their struggle against the common talism. The mutuak support of the British and Irish tinue to develop the greater the decline of British capi thereby, ers and rights, nor has the misery of the oppressed Irish workby the creation of the Irlsh "Free State" with Dominion But it would be a serious mistake to regard as permanent quiet, both as regards general and internal British policy the British worker. During the past few years Ireland has been relatively small peasantry been in any way alleviated Directly under the noses of the lords of the

study these special conditions and the experience of the conditions presents special difficulties to the Communist which call for investigation. Ireland with its complicated years has reached a regrettable level, the causes of lutionary Labor movement in Ireland itself; this of late The main reason for this lies in the decline of the revobut an insignificant role in the Communist International tionary significance and possibilities, has hitherto played It is noteworthy that ireland, in spite of its revolu-It is therefore | most important for us to

by troops mainly composed of workers, agricultural laborers and laboring peasants, and the work of that great frish Marxist who led this insurrection, played a most important role in this struggle. Here we have the opportunity of studying the strong and weak sides of the young Irish Labor movement, since Connolly himself in his qualities and faults was a typical representative of the best section of the working class of his country.

the Irish working class. be given him, in the following pages we will show how the basic theories of Leninism. The title of honor must stnuggled. A Marxist far beyond his contemporaries in he applied this point of view to the basic questions of understood despite his early end, and put into practice, the Labor movement of the Anglo-Saxon countries, a revolutionary Marxist of the first water worked and Connolly must today be dug out, so to speak, while the workers are almost ignorant of the fact that in Ireland ers also, and thus it happened that the works of a James This isolation was not without effects upon the workcountry in the dark about conditions and events in Irein the art of keeping not only Europe but also his own The British oppressor has always been a past master thereby isolating the Irish fighters for freedom.

The Role of the Working Class in the Irish Struggle for Freedom.

A biographer of Connolly* who examined the origin of his popularity amongst the drish workers refers to the problem of "Connolly's secret." As a solution he finds only a few general phrases about understanding how to subject the lesser to the greater, etc. "Connolly's secret," however, is quite clear. It is THE COMBINATION OF THE NATIONAL REVOLUTIONARY STRUGGLE AND OF THE REVOLUTIONARY CLASS STRUGGLE OF

THE WORKING CLASS. IT IS THE PROOF OF THE NECESSITY OF LEADERSHIP IN THE STRUGGLE FOR NATIONAL LIBERATION IN IRELAND.

Connolly ardently sympathized with the hatred of the masses against the imperialist oppression of Great Britain, and with their longing for national liberty. In the narrow sense of the word he was no nationalist; on the contrary, he was active both in theory and practice as a Marxist Internationalist. He was a stranger to any feeling against England as such. He spent the greater part of his youth in England, where he was active as an agitator in the Social Democratic Federation and frequently worked in the closest harmony with the British Labor provement against capitalists both in England and Ireland. He loved to use the declaration of the "United Irelanders" from the time of the first French Revolution.

"As to any union between the two islands, believe us when we assert that OUR UNION RESTS UPON OUR MUTUAL INDEPENDENCE. WE SHALL LOVE EACH OTHER IF WE BE LEFT TO OURSELVES."

Connolly took a deep interest in the history of the drish struggle for liberation, those 700 years of tragic history of wars, unsuscessful risings, treason, terror and famine. He raised the question as to the causes of the failure of the former movements, especially those during the past hundred and fifty years. As answer he found that the national struggle had not been linked up with the social struggle. He declares in his most important work, "Labor in frish History".

the drish question is a social question, the whole agelong fight of the drish people against their oppressors

[&]quot;D. Ryan'. "James Connolly." London, 1924.

[&]quot;"Labor in Irish History." This classical Marxist treatment of the Irish question is quite unknown on the Continent. It is really most important that this book should be published both in the Russian and in the German languages.

resolves itself in the last analysis into a fight for the mastery of the means of life, the sources of production in Ireland. Who would own and control the land? The people or the invaders; and if the invaders, which set of them—the most recent swarm of land thieves, or the sons of the thieves of a former generation? These were the bottom questions of Irish politics, and all other questions were valued or deprecated in the proportion to which they contributed to serve the interests of some of the factions who had already taken their stand in this fight around property interests."

The result of this was that very many struggles for freedom failed because they did not carry with them the working masses, for

"the producing classes could not be expected to rally to the revolution unless given to understand that it meant their freedom from social as well, as from political bondage."

This, however, does not give quite a clear interpretation of the failure of the national struggle. A further reason was to be found in the leadership of this struggle. The rich bourgeoisie, bound by a thousand ties to the ruling class in England and terrified of the class struggle, betrayed the struggle for national liberty; the middle and petty bourgeoisie wavered helplessly and sought a peaceful compromise in the most constitutional manner possible, always in fear that their agitation might cause the working masses to raise the social question.

"The spokesing of the middle class, in the press and on the platform, have consistently sought the emasculation of the frish National Movement, the distortion of Irish history, and, above all, the denial of all relation between the social rights of the Irish tollers and the political rights of the Irish nation. It was hoped and intended by this means to create what is termed a real National movement," I. e., a movement of the control of

other classes and laying aside their contentions would unite in a national struggle against the common enemy—England. Needless to say, the only class deceived by such phrases was the working class.

ed and most chivalrous of their class to face the odds shrank from the contest, and left only the purest mind quently of victims to the prison and the scaffold, could sion it would be possible to conciliate the upper class such conspiracy or rebellion has drawn the majority and glut the vengeance of the tyrant." anxious enough for freedom, but realizing the enor not be imbued on masse with the revolutionary fire workers, though furnishing the greatest proportion of es and enlist them in the struggle for freedom. an attempted rebellion against English rule. Every dred years every generation in Ireland has witnessed security lies in such elimination. During the last hunmous odds against them, and being explicitly told by recruits to the ranks of the revolutionists, and conse rebellion if successful; in hopes that by such excluexcluded from the field of action to be covered by the country, yet under the inspiration of a few middle class of its adherents from the lower orders in town and JECTION, EVEN IF SUCCESSFUL, they as a body CHIANGE IN THEIR CONDITION OF SOCIAL their leaders that they MUST NOT EXPECT ANY 700 years in the heart of their country. They were a necessary to seriously imperil a domination rooted fo doctrinaires the social question has been rigoro sly the possessing, conservative class, whose only hope of from public controversy a victory is thereby gained for "When questions of 'class' interests are eliminated SUB-The

Hence, declared Connolly, the liberation struggle in Ireland was only possible under the leadership of the working class, which should now take over the lead in this struggle.

"The result of the long drawn out struggle of Ire

land has been, so far, that the old chieftainry has disappeared, or through its degenerate descendants has of the supporters of the established order; the middle class, growing up in the midst of the national struggle, economic rivalry of England almost forced into the position of revolutionary leaders against the political also bowed the knee to Baal, and have a thousand ecothem to English capitalism, as against every sentiment patriotism, only the Irish working class remain as the Ireland."

The National movement was at a low ebb when Connolly began his activities in Ireland in the '90's. The development of British capitalism had not been without perialist England had fallen to the upper and middle classes in Ireland. The land reforms had had a tempolar pacifying effect on the peasantry, hence the Nationgram was simply Home Rule, limited autonomy within was by constitutional methods.

Connolly started a bitter struggle against the Home Rulers. His program was clear and definite: complete separation from Great Britain, an independent Irish Republic. The road thereto was by means of mass or legal method and in the final issue revolutionary instruction.

Un 1898 Connolly founded the trish Socialist Republican Party and its organ, "The Workers' Republic." The L. S. R. P. declares its program to be the development of un irish Socialist Republic based on public ownership by

distribution and exchange.

Connolly himself writes about the effect of the new Party upon the political life on freland:

scattered through the country, announced their puropenly accept it. The thought of revolution was the as well as in scores of thousands of pieces of literature speeches in the most public places of the metropolis, tionary reconstruction of society." eyes fearfully glancing round. The Socialists broke societies of a past generation, and was never menexclusive possession of a few remnants of the secret pose to muster all the forces of Labor for a revoluthrough this ridiculous secrecy, and in hundreds of tioned by them except with heads close together and pered among intimates; the Socialists boldly advised 'Republic' was looked upon as a word to be only whistics in Ireland. When it was, first initiated the word and its policy completely revolutionized advanced poli-"It is no exaggeration to say that this organization driving from political dife of all who would he

of-date reformist ideas of craft unionism, he struggled lutionary aspect of industrialism in contrast to the outagainst every tendency towards separation from the "pogood that he had learned in America from the Industhe movement. He applied in a brilliant manner the can be justly said that Connolly was the theoretician of organizer in the movement. And what is still more, it who together with Larkin became the most important Jim Larkin founded the Irish Transport and General trialists. Still, although he fought for the correct revo-Workers' Union he received the full support of Connolly for the foundation of trade union organizations. leadership the working masses in dreland, and worked Jim Larkin he roused with his flery agitation and apt thusiasm in organizing the trade unions. Together with Party in Ireland, so too he worked with the greatest en-Just as Connolly Counded the first Socialist Labor

ers' movement formed the basis for the creation of the active factor in the national revolutionary movement for litical movement." On the contrary, the Transport Work-Irish Labor Party, and was at the same time the most

movement which was thus created. marked the brilliant climax of the trade union The general strike of the Dublin workers in 1913 mass

The Union with the Peasantry.

of the Irish struggle for freedom. governs?" he took as starting point the understanding volved around the question, "Who possesses the land and until the beginning of this century, fundamentally reory and in practice. the Leninist interpretation of this alliance both in theantry, with whom union must be established if national its fate was inseparably involved with that of the peasleadership of the working class, so too he realized that Just as Connolly was convinced of the necessity of the social liberation, were to be attained. He stood for Since the Irish question, at least

existed on potatoes. tinguish from chronic famine. Ireland produced and exas tenant farmers. In this way, the peasants came to live as tenants on that same ground which in reality beported large quantities of corn, but the peasants mainly misery amongst the peasants, which was hard to disthe landlords scandalously high rents. The result was ally destroyed by wars, hunger and terror, or remained servants. The peasants were driven away and physicgiven to the British conquerors and their supporters and longed to them, and at the same time were obliged to pay the basis of a kind of clan kinship, had been robbed of antry, which had hitherto owned and tilled the land on their land with the most fearful cruelty. The land was During the 700 years of British rule the Irish peas-

worse in the condition of the peasantry. Every had potato harvest made a big change for the In 1845-1849

> the agrarian reform, such periods of famine are still winter of 1924-25, which was particularly rampant among possible, as was proved by the famine in Ireland in the export corn for large sums of money. Even today, after the deaths of several hundred thousands from hunger there was a terrible famine, which brought in its wake the peasants in the West. And during this time Ireland continued to

of the development of the industrial proletariat. In the ship of the "Land League" these peasant risings were voiced in many peasant risings and revolts, in which the peasantry supplied the mass of the troops until the time particularly widespread. famine years, in 1848, and in the '70's under the leader-The result of this condition of the peasantry were

revolution and separation from England. Our Irish Gidemands of the peasantry lost a favorable possibility for Ireland" movement, who from fear of the social land ful weakness, and treachery on the part of the petty carry out the rising rondists sacrificed the Irish peasantry on the altar of bitterly and with contempt of the leaders of the "Young private property. hopeful revolutionary mass movement. Connolly writes bourgeoiste and the betrayal of a powerful and specially The year 1848 was also marked as a year of disgrace about those "revolutionaries" who wanted to With scom he writes in a "respectable" manner: "("Labor in Irish

But the crowning absurdity of all was the leadership of William Smith O'Brien. He wandered through the and no interference with the rights of property bands and banners; Irish army on the other side, also country telling the scarving peasantry to get ready, but with glittering steel,' no mere proletarian insurrection provided with guns, bands and banners, 'serried ranks starved, and evicted them; he would not allow his pense of the landlords who had so long plundered refusing to allow them to feed themselves at the ex-"English army on one side, provided with guns,

lowers to seize upon the carts of grain passing along the roads where the people were dying for want of food; at Mullinahone he refused to allow his followers to fell trees to build a barricade across the road until they had asked permission of the landlords who owned the trees "

As a counterpart to this Connolly writes full of appreciation of the Fenians who in their struggle for national freedom and social liberty of the workers joined with the Land League, i. e., the peasants in the struggle

for the land:

"When the revolutionary nationalists threw in their lot—with the Irish Land League, and made the land struggle the basis for their warfare, they were not only placing themselves in touch once more with those inexhaustable quarries of material interests from which all the great Irish statesmen from Laurence O'Toole to Wolfe Tone drew the stones upon which they build their edifice of a militant patriotic Irish organization, but they were also, consciously or unconsciously, plac-

ing themselves in accord with the principles which

inderlie and inspire the modern movement of Labor."

clared to be the basis and inspiration of the modern Labor movement, and in full recognition he points out that the principles of the Land League were not only recognized as Communist, but that the organ of the Land League in America, "The Irish World," bore the sub-title of "American Industrial Liberator."

The agrarian reform was introduced. The causes therefore were the pressure brought to bear by the Land League movement, and the circumstance that the investment of capital in industrial undertakings, because of the competition of American corn, had become more profitable than agriculture in Ireland. For this reason, the British Parliament, at the close of the last century and the beginning of the present, decided upon a series

to the market price of their land an additional sum from one-third of the land is held on lease. duced still more since that time, and today only about from a country of tenants to that of a country of small landlords, was the transformation of Ireland gradually result of these reforms, or rather this buying out of the the State varying between three and eight per cent. a quarter per cent). The landlords received in addition purchase at the rate of four per cent (later three and the land on credit advanced by the State at 49 years' 217,282 tenant farmers. This latter figure has been rewere 348,855/peasants who owned their own land and peasants who owned their own farms. The peasants were able purchase their land In 1914 there to secure The

In spite of these reforms the overwhelming majority of peasants even today do not employ hired labor. That is to say, the overpowering mass of the Irish country folk is composed of laboring peasants (petty peasants and tenant farmers). This peasantry is oppressed by the heavy weight of debt. It is obliged to pay twice as much for its own land as it is worth, as a result of all this interest, extras and land speculation.

"Thus the Trish people found themselves robbed in very deed for a second time. First, the Britishers took their land away from them by force, and then by means of Acts of Parliament forced them to pay more than double the price for this same land."*

In addition to this, there was a further nuisance, the "Gombeen men," traders and bank capitalists, who in the small rural places acted as veritable leeches on the rural population and were hand in glove with the former landlords.

"Indeed the buying out of the landlords' in many cases served only to gorge still further the fever-rapacious may of those parasites upon rural life."

^{*}Kernheizev ("Revolutionary Ireland"), Moscow, 1923. †J. Connolly, "The Reconquest of Ireland," Dublin, 1914.

Connolly cherished no illusions about the land "reform." He showed up the fact that the mass of the peasantry was still steeped in misery and that the necessity for joint struggle with the workers still existed, was even still greater than hitherto. The opponent and exploiter had only changed his shape. Formerly that shape was that of a feudal capitalist landlord and now the peasantry was faced with trade and bank capital and the collector of the British government.

Connolly wrote on the effects of the reforms on the land question:

to a country shaping itself after capitalist laws o sharper weapons, and a more comprehensive knowledge Atlantic traffic, are converting Ireland into a country contemporaneously with the development of trans success of the Land League has effected a change in sion or freedom by their loss or recovery of the color foreign social order, as they measured their oppresof all the essentials of permanent victory. As the be taken up by the Irish tollers on a broader field with governed according to the conception of feudalism inbriefly, it means that the recent Land Acts, Ireland, the portent of which but few realize. not lie down, and cannot be suppressed. The partia mastery of those factories, workshops, and farms upon Irish Parliament, but upon their progress towards the not upon the winning or losing the right to talk in an from henceforward will base their fight for freedom lish according as they rejected or accepted the native which a people's bread and liberties depend." lective ownership of their lands, so the trish tollers Irish septs of the past were accounted Irish or Eng then abandoned, before it was either lost or won, will "But that question so dreaded rises again; it wil That war which the Land League fought, and acting

The correctness of this analysis was proved by the role which the peasants played in the civil war, 1919-1921,

during which agrarian unrest and arbitrary expropriation by the peasants took place.

According to Connolly, Co-operation was one of the most important forms of joint work between peasants and workers. Larkin couched his and Connolly's program thus: To organize the workers into unions according to industry, to join them together into one political unit and at the same time to unite the agricultural workers with the urban workers through Coperation.

As we will see from the quotation given below, Connolly went still further. To his mind Co-operatives did not only constitute contact between workers and peasants but also provided the possibility of a joint Labor Party (as we would say today a Farmer-Labor Party).

His genius penetrated still further. He understood that the Co-operatives provided the only way of transforming agriculture under conditions of private ownership to Socialism and after the overthrow of capitalism the Co-operatives would act as a means by which the conflict between town and country would be overcome, and both would be joined together in a unified Socialist economy. And Connolly emphasizes:

"If to that combination of agriculturalists and urban laborers we have just hinted at, as a possibility of cooperation upon the conomic field, we add the further possible development of an understanding upon the political field between these two groups of co-operators we begin to realize the great and fundamental change now slowly maturing in our midst. Then when to the easily organized laborers of the towns is added the staying power of the peasantry, and when representatives appear in the Halls of Legislature voicing their combined demands, the Party of Labor which will thus manifest itself will speak with a prophetic voice when it proclaims its ideal of a regenerated Ireland re-conquered for its common people.

"For the only true prophets are they who carve ou the future which they announce."#

Connolly, the Revolutionary and Marxist.

Connolly was proud to declare himself a Marxist. He makes frequent reference to Marx in his book, "Labor and Irish History," which in itself represents an attempt to apply Marxist method to Irish history. He speaks of Marx as "the greatest of modern thinkers and the first speaks of contribe Socialist".

scientific Socialist."

Connolly was enabled to follow a real Marxist tactic Connolly was enabled to follow a real Marxist moby the fact of his profound understanding of Marxism. He pursued a real Marxist policy, between the open reformists on the one hand and the pure military revolutionaries (no rarity in Ireland), the rigid trade unionists and the sectarian pseudo-Marxist Socialists on the other.

Constitutional Fabianism earned his contempt. He was fully aware of the advantage of utilizing all legal possibilities and of the necessity of spending years in organizing, agitating for the daily struggle on behalf of partial demands. But he would countenance no infringement of the recognition that the final issue of all great political and social questions could only be decided by force, and that Ireland's liberation from the British imperialist yoke and the social emancipation of its workers was only possible through revolutionary channels. He, the organizer of industrial trade unions, fought political sectarianism at the same time. He invited his comrades of the Scottish Social Democratic Federataion to drop their sectarian scruples (amongst which was the oath of allegiance to king and constitution) and to enter Parliament as a political party.

Connolly was a revolutionary to the core. McManus once wrote (1919) that Connolly was the only Socialist be liad met who judged the social position or political crisis from the standpoint of its revolutionary possibili-

ties. As was worthy of a revolutionary, he occupied himself seriously with the political, tactical, and military questions of a rising in Ireland. He understood very well the Leninist conception that a rising is an "art" which has got to be "studied."

During the war his journal, "The Workers' Republic," gave the place of honor to studies about risings, street, fights in Moscow in 1905, Paris in 1830 and in 1848, the rising in the Tyrol in 1905, and guerilla warfare in India, revolutionary struggles in Mexico, and similar happenings. At a meeting of the officers of the revolutionary rish Volunteer Army, Connolly was asked during his lecture on street fights how it happened that he understood so much about revolutionary and military questions. He smilingly replied "You forget that revolution is my business." (Ryan; "J. Connolly.")

It is very worthy of note that Connolly grasped the conception of the Soviet idea. Daniel De Leon influenced him very much in this, he had worked jointly with him in America. Just as he, so too did Connolly declare that the future government and the future division of the country would be based not on territory but, on production and its component parts and branches.

Against the Imperialist War.

It is a platitude to state that Connolly as a revolutionary fighter against imperialism was also an ardent fighter against the last imperialist war. The breakdown of the Socialist International oppressed him greatly. To this was added the complete treachery of the Irish bourgeois and petty bourgeois Nationalists. The former, the Home Rulers, under Redmond's leadership, went over completely to the camp of the British Imperialists; the latter, weak and vacillating, expected to get all assistance from the Germans. From the very beginning Connolly was quite clear that only by a rising of the workers could the war be put a stop to, and also that such a great revolutionary rising would take place. On Augusta

[&]quot;"Reconquest of Ireland."

to take active part in such a co-ordinated international 1914, he wrote to this effect in the Glasgow "For-He expressed to the Scottish comrades the wish

struggle of the workers.

ed to the Second International had had so little contact possible that the isolation of Ireland through England with the Left Wing of this International. It is quite It is not clear why Connolly's Party which had affiliat-

during the war was responsible for this.

freland to fight for its freedom. "England's difficulty is stood only too well that this war intensified the crisis open revolutionary defeatism. never was a more favorable moment than the present for decision in Ireland. Further, he declared, that there to a great extent, and must one way or another lead to a a decisive revolutionary struggle and rising. as far as Ireland was concerned would not end without freland's opportunity." In this sense Connolly preached There was no doubt in Connolly's mind that the war He under

of England is weakest at the point nearest its heart, that Ireland is in that position of tactical advantage. act as in war We shall continue in season and that a defeat of England in India, Egypt, the Balkans out of season, to teach that 'the far-flung battle line' or Flanders, would not be so dangerous to the British land's Battle is Here." time for Ireland's Battle is Now-the place for Ire-Empire as conflict of armed forces in Ireland, that the "But we also believe that in times of war we should

This declaration shows the Beninist spirit which per-

meated Connolly's policy.

tional revolution. hoped that it might form the beginning of the internastruggle in Ireland not merely as an Irish affair, but he Connolly looked forward to the pending revolutionary

the last throne and the last capitalist bond and deben-European conflagration that will not burn out until "Starting thus, Ireland may yet set the torch to a

> war lord. ture are shrivelled up on the funeral pyre of the last

n Ireland. This brings us to the Easter of 1916, the first upheaval

The Easter Rising.

was received with a lack of general understanding. nolly's leadership of the Easter rising. Much as these sought and seek still in vain for an explanation of Conish Labor movement, or in the most favorable instance der that the Irish rising was either rejected by the Brittude during the Red Easter of 1916. It is no small deavors to explain, or rather to excuse Connolly's atti-Socialist they could not understand how he could take latter sympathized with Connolly as a labor leader and part in such an act and thus we see the strangest en-Irish bourgeois nationalists and British Socialists WO'D.

his bitter sorrow at the breakdown of the Socialist Indreland. His decision was also attributed to the fact of sion and despair caused by the war and the position of explained the rising as a result of Connolly's depresof Ireland must offer up a blood sacrifice. The others have believed in a mystic manner that every generation his comrade, Pearce, the Republican, who is said to slaughter of the workers of all countries, which impelled win to his side. ternational and his mental rejection of the him to deal a blow, no matter how few people he could Some attributed Connolly's attitude to the influence of

wish to show that Ireland was not loyal and did not re-Others explained the rising as a demonstration of the

linguish her demands.

sense: meant to excuse Connolly, they accuse their originators by showing that they are at loggerheads with the principles of revolutionary struggle, or that they Of course, all these explanations are so much non-Others again simply declared the rising was a "Putsch."

ly contrary to the actual facts totally misunderstand them. Besides, they are absolute

and deprivation of political rights. offensive and a regime of general reaction. pare, nervously and anxiously, a large-scale destructive I The events proved the correctness of Connolly's Len tion, suppression of papers, were the order of the day. country. It increased to an extreme degree oppression inist analysis. The war brought economic want to the revolutionaries compelled the British government to preslowly there ripened amongst the masses a condition of evolutionary discontent. The growing strength of the Arrests, confisca-

strong following amongst the workers and peasants. and was founded during the general strike in 1913, the tary organization of both these workers' organizations Transport Workers' Union and the Irish Socialists, who ty bourgeois nationalists, but at the same time had a the latter groups represented the radical lead of the pet-Sinn Feiners and the Irish Republican Volunteers. Both lic," the Irish Citizen Army, which represented the milirallied to Connolly's newspaper, the "Workers' Repubtween the revolutionary groups. These were: the Irish These conditions brought about a rapprochement be

manner in one of his declarations: struggle joint work was necessary between these groups. How he interpreted this is shown in the characteristic Connolly understood that in the coming revolutionary

unteers, to consider means to take and hold Ireland of all the elements outside, as well as inside the Volcall loudly for, demand the formation of a committee have shown that they know how to face prison and aid of drilled and trained men; we can bring to it the ready for any such co-operation. We can bring it the of the Transport Linion, we of the Citizen Army, are and the food of Ireland, for the people of Ireland. 15, 1914), nay the imperious necessities of the hour heartlest efforts of men and women who in thousands "The time is now ripe. ("Irish Worker,"

> success in a crisis like this." quire different policies—that you cannot legalize revoand organizers who know that different occasions relutionary actions and that audacity alone can command death; and we can bring to it the services of thinkers

to the Left. The desire for revolutionary action grew This collaboration became a reality and under Con-lly's influence the Volunteers moved more and more

amongst their ranks.

not prepared to give in without a struggle and refused to carry out the order to disband. The rising was unscinded the order, mainly because the German help which ment the petty bourgeois, leaders of the Volunteers renow the time had come for the revolutionaries to act ers and the revolutionary section of the Volunteers were to carry out the order government of an Independent Irish Republic. The work a voidable. already drawn up the proclamation of the Provisiona undermined the onslaught. late. It was not able to restrain the rising, but simply general maneuvors on a large scale at Easter, i. e., in leaders of the Volunteers actually gave the order for and to proceed from the defensive to the offensive. ment. Connolly and his friends were of the opinion that Hall" who constituted the life and soul of the rising had despicable act of petty bourgeois cowardice was too they had expected had failed to arrive. This typical and "Citizen Army" and the disarmament by force of the "Volunteers" and of the highly charged atmosphere prevailed; mobilization both sides began. The British government prepared, for After a period of stormy events April, 1916 came. the destruction of the entire move-The people from "Liberty

Connolly undertook the leadership accordance with the plan previously drawn up, ip without any hesita-

rying with them the majority of the Volunteer Army and that in any case the rising, even if it should fai He undoubtedly hoped that they would succeed in car-

would constitute the preliminary to a general large scale revolutionary struggle. Hence, he also calmly and with decision weighed the possibilities of its failure. His first hope was shattered, not because the masses of the Volunteers were not ready, but because the disorganization which the cowardly petty bourgeois leadership caused at the last decisive moment was too great. Subsequent events confirmed his second expectation to the full.

On the morning of April 24, the most important points of the city of Dublin were in the hands of the revolutionaries. Proclamations of the Provisional government were posted up and the radio stations proclaimed on all sides the foundation of an Independent Irish Republication people participated in scenes of the most intense

Then the struggle began. About one thousand Volunteers and workers' troops maintained their position for more than a week against a powerful British army. Only by ruthless use of artillery, which completely destroyed the whole center of the city, and by numerical supremacy did the British succeed, with great losses, in forcing the revolutionaries to surrender after a week's fighting.

Then an orgy of White Terror ensued. Mass shooting of leaders, mass arrests, executions of non-compatants, devastation. In short, imperialistic British civilization showed itself in its full development. Connolly didnot escape his doom. The British government, a government in which sat Arthur Henderson, the present Secretary of the Labor Party, signed the order for his execution, which took place on May the 12th. He had been severely wounded in the struggle and was so weak that he was unable to stand and was shot seated in a chair. He met his end calmly and philosophically. Up to the last minute he remained what he had always been, a proletarian revolutionist.

The slogans of the rising were, "Down with the War! Down with British Imperialism! All hail a free Irish Republic!" One, may wonder, perhaps, that more definite Socialist slogans, aliq not play a bigger role in this strug-

The Proclamation of the Irish Republic declared, although cialists and trade unionists. of production of wealth. in, vague terms, the right of the Irish people to the means most strongly from British imperialism and the war tained expression at a moment when pressure was fell But still the entire rising had a definite Socialist color. way, this first revolutionary outburst of the masses oblaborers, and a considerable section of the leaders the masses of the fighters were workers and agricultural workers, but that this rising was not the final struggle of the Irish gle, but we must not forget to take into consideration hat the rising primarily appealed to the workers, that merely the preliminary thereto. In this It is apparent from the fact

The warm words with which Lenin wrote of this Easter rising will best show our appreciation. In his article, "The Results of the Discussion on Self-Determination" of 1916* he attacks the "monstrous judgment" of those who termed this "heroic rising" a Putsch.

"Published in "Against the Stream."

"Those who can term such a rising a Putsch are either the worst kind of reactionaries or hopelessly doctrinaires, incapable of imagining the social revolution as a living phenomenon."

And again:

"To assume the possibility that a social revolution without risings of small nations in the colonies and Europe, without revolutionary outbursts of the petty bourgeoisie, with all their prejudices, without movements of the unconscious proletarian and semi-proletarian masses against the oppression of landowners and the church and monarchists and national oppression, is equivalent to denying the social revolution."

The Irish rising was, as Lenin shows, a manifestation of the serious crisis of imperialism, a crisis which in 1917-18, led to the collapse of a number of imperialist

states and to the Prolemarian Revolution.

"The crisis of imperialism was at that time still far removed from the stage of its highest development:

the power of the imperialist bourgeoisie had not yet been overcome (the war to a finish can bring that about, at present it has not gone so far); proletarian movements are still very weak in imperialist states."

act; as a guarantee for the greatness and depth of their rising was untimely, since the rising of the European proletariat was NOT YET ribe. Capitalism is ference and dissimilarity in the place of the risings throw. of risings can suddenly unite without failure of overnot so harmoniously constructed that separate sources the joint movement; it is only by untimely, partially risings, etc., prepared the general attack in 1905. tional demonstrations, mutinies in the army, peasant the joint attack; just as isolated strikes, town and nalearn, assemble their forces, recognize their true lead-"The misfortune of the Irish lay in the fact that consequently unsuccessful attempts at revolutionthe Socialist proletarians, and thereby prepare risings that the masses will again experience, On the contrary, the difference in time, the dif-

Civil War and the "Free State:"

Lenin's prophetic word was fulfilled. The Easter rising marked the beginning of a new epoch. The rising and the persecutions accomplished in a few weeks what the propaganda of years had failed to do: the ideas of the extreme revolutionary groups and their methods were supported by the masses.* The revolutionaries realized that the only way to liberate breand was through a revolutionary struggle, and they won over through a revolutionary struggle, and they won over

Then came the years of the widespread partisan war, Then came the years of the widespread partisan war, 1919-1921, which stand without parallel in the history of revolutionary struggles, in which the Irish Republic, crented at Easter, 1916, was actually thrust on British imperialism. In the end the British government had to perialism. In order not to lose everything. In 1921 climb down in order not to lose everything in 1921 treland was made a Free State with Dominion rights like

Australia and South Africa, having previously separated from the North (Ulster). But even this partial success was only possible as the result of the armed revolutionary struggle which had been inaugurated by the Easter rising.

The disunited, petty bourgeois nature of the leadership of the struggle was shown by the Republican consent to this compromise. Only the radical wing, consisting mainly of working elements, agricultural laborers, and the poorer petty bourgeoisie, refused to accept the compromise; these were led by De Valera. Then a fresh civil war ensued and the world witnessed the sad example of the Irish Nationalists and Republicans, in the garb of the Free State, but really as the agents of British imperialism and of the Irish capitalists, slaughtering by-hundreds Irish Republicans and fighters for freedom.

*Kernheitzer, "Revolutionary Ireland."

Today the Free State has become a respectable Dominion of the British Empire, Mr. Cosgrave, the President, on the occasion of the last attack on Mussolini's nese, sent a moving and servile telegram of sympathy.

But naturally the Irish question has not been solved thereby, nor have its workers been helped; the role of liberator falls to the workers of Ireland.

and toiling peasantry again were taken, in too by the decided not to put forward any Labor Party or trade union candidates because of the Sinn Feiners. 'This was The Irish Labor movement after the Easter rising combourgeois Republican movement, and how the workers now it resigned this leadership into the hands of the the working class were completely enmeshed in the petty in a long political history of how the active elements of a suicidal manner of establishing the united front against petty bourgeoisie. tion in 1917-1918 by means of the strike weapon..... But British imperialism, and in the struggle against conscriphad taken the lead politically in the struggle against mitted a number of serious errors. Up to that time it British imperialism. At the time of the 1918 elections it But it served as only one example

petty bourgeoisie. The Labor movement has not taken to heart Connolly's Leninist slogan, that in spite of the united front with the revolutionary nationalists the workers must retain their independence and their leading

De Valer's tardy (or premature [?]) rising against the compromise and the Free State, in which many workers and agricultural laborers took part, was also a mistake. It had as a result the further destruction of the active forces of the workers and the revolutionary strata of the

petty bourgeoisie.

Today the position of the revolutionary movement in Ireland is most unsatisfactory. The trade union movement is split and weakened. There is neither a Socialist Labor Party nor a Communist Party. The Labor Party is weak and expends its energy in petty reformist work. In reality, it is simply the parliamentary representative of the trade unions and has no proper organization. Amongst the remaining Republicans who have been quite scattered, there are many good revolutionary forces. The peasants are unorganized.

sight of the fact that the workers alone should have of hard working small farmers. the struggle for the final liberation from British imperial raise the standard of Connolly; it ought never to lose ership and organization. It is an absolute necessity to class is to consolidate its forces and create a virile leadthe more possib ism and capitalism. It must not forget that for this end powerful trade union movement. The Labor Party mus dencies towards forming an Irish Workers' Party. found a class conscious revolutionary Labor Party, rade unions must be strengthened and made into a rea t must act jointly with the peasantry, and this is al n this connection we must welcome the existing Thus we see that the first task of the Irish working le in treland since the majority consists and ten-

Thus, in union with the British working class, the other oppressed people in the British Empire and the workers of other countries, the trish workers will raise aloft in Ireland the red flag of the Irish Workers'

3. Schüller

A Suggested List of Books on

oviet Ress

RUSSIA TODAY

The Official Report of the British Trade Union Delegation to Soviet Russia.

and the Red International of Labor Unions. special report on the famous "Zinoviev" a most complete report on every phase of Soviet life today—with maps and charts, including a spread discussion in the labor movement. No book in recent years has created such wide-

Duroflex bound, \$1.25

RUSSIAN WORKERS AND WORKSHOPS IN 1926
By Wm. Z. Foster 25 C 25 Cents

10 Cents

By Scott Nearing GLIMPSES OF THE SOVIET REPUBLIC

10 Cents

EDUCATION IN SOVIET RUSSIA By Scott Nearing

By Scott Nearing RUSSIA TURNS EAST

10 Cents

THE NEW THEATER AND CINEMA IT RUSSIA SOVIET

By Huntley Carter

\$6.00

ORDER FROM

DAILY WORKER PUB CO.

1113 W. Washington Bl

Chicago III

the last date stamped be returned to the

retaining it beyond the specified time. Please return promptly.